

AN ELLIPTIC SYSTEM WITH DEGENERATE COERCIVITY

LUCIO BOCCARDO, GISELLA CROCE, CHIARA TANTERI

a Bernard, nostro maestro

1

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. SETTING. In this paper we study the existence of solutions of the degenerate elliptic system

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{a(x) \nabla u}{(b(x) + |z|)^2} \right) + u = f(x), \\ -\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{A(x) \nabla z}{(B(x) + |u|)^2} \right) + z = F(x), \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded, open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$, with $N > 2$, $a(x)$ and $A(x)$ are measurable matrices such that, for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$(1.2) \quad \alpha |\xi|^2 \leq a(x) \xi \xi, \quad \alpha |\xi|^2 \leq A(x) \xi \xi; \quad |a(x)| \leq \beta, \quad |A(x)| \leq \beta.$$

Moreover we assume

$$(1.3) \quad 0 < \lambda \leq b(x), \quad B(x) \leq \gamma,$$

for some $\lambda, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and

$$(1.4) \quad f(x), F(x) \in L^2(\Omega).$$

THEOREM 1.1. *Under the assumptions (1.2), (1.3), (1.4), there exist $u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ and $z \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$, distributional solutions of the system (1.1).*

1.2. COMMENTS. First of all, we note that existence of solutions belonging to the nonreflexive space $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ is not so usual in the study of elliptic problems. Recently the existence of solutions in $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ was proved in [3], [4], [5], for elliptic scalar problems with degenerate coercivity (so that this paper is an extension to the systems of some of those results) and in some borderline cases of the Calderon-Zygmund theory of nonlinear Dirichlet problems in [9].

The main difficulty of the problem is that even if the differential operator is well defined between $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and its dual, it is not coercive on $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$: degenerate coercivity means that when $|v|$ is “large”, $\frac{1}{(b(x)+|v|)^2}$ goes to zero: for an explicit example see [18].

¹ (see [14], [15], [6], [7],[13], [16], [17],)

The study of problems involving degenerate equations begins with the paper [8] and it is developed in [1], [10], [11], [12], [3], [4], [5] (see also [2])

2. EXISTENCE

2.1. A PRIORI ESTIMATES. The first existence result is concerned with the case of a bounded data.

We recall the following definitions.

$$T_k(s) = \begin{cases} s, & \text{if } |s| \leq k; \\ k \frac{s}{|s|}, & \text{if } |s| > k; \end{cases} \quad G_k(s) = s - T_k(s).$$

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let $\rho > 0$, $\sigma > 0$ and $g, G \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Then there exist weak solutions w, W belonging to $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ of the system

$$\begin{cases} w \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega) : -\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{a(x) \nabla w}{(b(x) + |T_\rho(W)|)^2} \right) + w = g(x), \\ W \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega) : -\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{A(x) \nabla W}{(B(x) + |T_\sigma(w)|)^2} \right) + W = G(x). \end{cases}$$

PROOF. The existence is a consequence of the Leray-Lions theorem (or Schauder theorem) since the principal part is not degenerate, thanks to the presence of T_ρ and T_σ . Moreover, if we take $G_h(w)$ as test function in the first equation and $G_k(W)$ as test function in the second equation, we have, dropping two positive terms,

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} [|w| - |g(x)|] |G_h(w)| \leq 0, \\ \int_{\Omega} [|W| - |G(x)|] |G_k(w)| \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then the choice $h = \|g\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$, $k = \|G\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ implies

$$\begin{cases} |w| \leq \|g\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \\ |W| \leq \|G\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}. \end{cases}$$

Thus, if we set $\rho = \|g\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ and $\sigma = \|G\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$, we can say that w and W are bounded weak solutions of the system

$$\begin{cases} w \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega) : -\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{a(x) \nabla w}{(b(x) + |W|)^2} \right) + w = g(x), \\ W \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega) : -\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{A(x) \nabla W}{(B(x) + |w|)^2} \right) + W = G(x). \end{cases}$$

□

Now we define

$$f_n = \frac{f}{1 + \frac{1}{n}|f|}, \quad F_n = \frac{F}{1 + \frac{1}{n}|F|},$$

so that

$$(2.1) \quad \|f_n - f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \|F_n - F\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Thanks to the Proposition 2.1, there exists a solution (u_n, z_n) of the system

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} u_n \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) : -\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{a(x)\nabla u_n}{(b(x) + |z_n|)^2}\right) + u_n = f_n(x), \\ z_n \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) : -\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{A(x)\nabla z_n}{(B(x) + |u_n|)^2}\right) + z_n = F_n(x), \end{cases}$$

Now we prove our first estimates.

LEMMA 2.2. *The sequences $\{u_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ are bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$.*

PROOF. We take $G_k(u_n)$ as a test function in the first equation and we have

$$(2.3) \quad \alpha \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla G_k(u_n)|^2}{(b(x) + |z_n|)^2} + \int_{\Omega} |G_k(u_n)|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} |f| |G_k(u_n)|$$

If we drop the first positive term and we use the Hölder inequality, then we have

$$(2.4) \quad \left[\int_{\Omega} |G_k(u_n)|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left[\int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} |f|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Similar estimates hold true for z_n . In particular, taking $k = 0$, we have the boundedness of the sequences $\{u_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. So we have that there exist u, z such that, up to subsequences,

$$(2.5) \quad u_n \rightharpoonup u, \quad z_n \rightharpoonup z \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(\Omega).$$

Then if we drop the second term in (2.3), we have

$$(2.6) \quad \alpha \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla G_k(u_n)|^2}{(b(x) + |z_n|)^2} \leq \int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} |f|^2.$$

A similar estimate for z_n comes from the second equation. $\square$

LEMMA 2.3. *The sequences $\{u_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ are bounded in $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$.*

PROOF. A consequence of (2.6) and of the Hölder inequality is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla G_k(u_n)| &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla G_k(u_n)|}{(b(x) + |z_n|)} (b(x) + |z_n|) \\ &\leq \left[\int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} \frac{|f|^2}{\alpha} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|b\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}). \end{aligned}$$

Similar estimates hold true for z_n . In particular, with $k = 0$, we have

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n| &\leq \frac{\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} (\|b\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)})}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \\ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z_n| &\leq \frac{\|F\|_{L^2(\Omega)} (\|b\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)})}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we improve the convergence (2.5).

LEMMA 2.4. *The sequences $\{u_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ are compact in $L^2(\Omega)$.*

PROOF. The estimates (2.7) imply, thanks to the Rellich embedding Theorem, the L^1 compactness and then the a.e. convergences

$$(2.8) \quad u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x), \quad z_n(x) \rightarrow z(x).$$

Now we use the Vitali Theorem: since we have the a.e. convergences (2.8), the compactness is achieved if we prove the equiintegrability.

Let E be a measurable subset of Ω . Since $u_n = T_k(u_n) + G_k(u_n)$, we have (we use (2.4))

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E |u_n|^2 &\leq 2 \int_E |T_k(u_n)|^2 + 2 \int_E |G_k(u_n)|^2 \\ &\leq 2 k^2 |E| + 2 \int_{\Omega} |G_k(u_n)|^2 \leq \\ &\quad 2 k^2 |E| + 2 \int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} |f|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $|E|$ denotes the measure of E . Now we recall that a consequence of Lemma 2.3 is that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $L^1(\Omega)$, so that if we fix $\epsilon > 0$, there exists k_ϵ such that (uniformly with respect to n)

$$\int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} |f|^2 \leq \epsilon, \quad k \geq k_\epsilon.$$

Then

$$\int_E |u_n|^2 \leq 2 k^2 |E| + 2\epsilon$$

implies

$$\lim_{|E| \rightarrow 0} \int_E |u_n|^2 \leq 2\epsilon, \text{ uniformly with respect to } n.$$

Similar inequality holds true for z_n . □

LEMMA 2.5. *The sequences $\{u_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ are weakly compact in $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$.*

PROOF. Here we follow [4], [5]. Let again E be a measurable subset of Ω , and let i be in $\{1, \dots, N\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E |\partial_i u_n| &\leq \int_E |\nabla u_n| = \int_E \frac{|\nabla u_n|}{b(x) + |z_n|} (b(x) + |z_n|) \\ &\leq \left[\int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{(b(x) + |z_n|)^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_E (b(x) + |z_n|)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left[\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_\Omega |f|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \left[\int_E b(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left[\int_E |z_n|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the inequality (2.6) in the last passage. Since the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is compact in $L^2(\Omega)$, we have that the sequence $\{\partial_i u_n\}$ is equiintegrable. Thus, by Dunford-Pettis theorem, and up to subsequences, there exists Y_i in $L^1(\Omega)$ such that $\partial_i u_n$ weakly converges to Y_i in $L^1(\Omega)$. Since $\partial_i u_n$ is the distributional derivative of u_n , we have, for every n in $\mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_\Omega \partial_i u_n \phi = - \int_\Omega u_n \partial_i \phi, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

We now pass to the limit in the above identities, using that $\partial_i u_n$ weakly converges to Y_i in $L^1(\Omega)$, and that u_n strongly converges to u in $L^2(\Omega)$; we obtain

$$\int_\Omega Y_i \phi = - \int_\Omega u \partial_i \phi, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega),$$

which implies that $Y_i = \partial_i u$, and this result is true for every i . Since Y_i belongs to $L^1(\Omega)$ for every i , u belongs to $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$. A similar result holds true for z_n . $\square$

Thus, thanks to Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5, we can improve the convergence (2.5):

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{cases} u_n \text{ converges weakly in } W_0^{1,1}(\Omega) \text{ and strongly in } L^2(\Omega) \text{ to } u, \\ z_n \text{ converges weakly in } W_0^{1,1}(\Omega) \text{ and strongly in } L^2(\Omega) \text{ to } z. \end{cases}$$

$\square$

2.2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 -. First of all, we use the equiintegrability proved in Lemma 2.5: fix $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that, for every measurable subset E with $|E| \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$, we have

$$\int_E |\nabla u_n| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Taking into account the absolute continuity of the Lebesgue integral, we have, for some $\tilde{\delta}(\varepsilon) > 0$,

$$\int_E |\nabla u_n| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \int_E |\nabla u| \leq \varepsilon,$$

for every measurable subset E with $|E| \leq \tilde{\delta}(\varepsilon)$.

On the other hand, since $|\Omega|$ is finite and the sequence

$$D_n = \frac{a(x)}{(b(x) + |z_n|)^2}$$

converges almost everywhere (recall (2.9)), the Egorov theorem says that for every $q > 0$, there exists a measurable subset F of Ω such that $|F| < q$, and D_n converges to D uniformly on $\Omega \setminus F$. We choose $q = \tilde{\delta}$ so that we have, for every $\varphi \in \text{Lip}(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega} [D_n \nabla u_n \nabla \varphi - D \nabla u \nabla \varphi] \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_{\Omega \setminus F} [D_n \nabla u_n \nabla \varphi - D \nabla u \nabla \varphi] \right| + \left| \int_F [D_n \nabla u_n \nabla \varphi - D \nabla u \nabla \varphi] \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_{\Omega \setminus F} [D_n \nabla u_n \nabla \varphi - D \nabla u \nabla \varphi] \right| + \frac{\beta}{\lambda^2} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \left[\int_F |\nabla u_n| + \int_F |\nabla u| \right] \\ & \leq \left| \int_{\Omega \setminus F} [D_n \nabla u_n \nabla \varphi - D \nabla u \nabla \varphi] \right| + 2\varepsilon \frac{\beta}{\lambda^2} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves that

$$(2.10) \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x) \nabla u_n \nabla \varphi}{(b(x) + |z_n|)^2} \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x) \nabla u \nabla \varphi}{(b(x) + |z|)^2}.$$

Thus, thanks to the above limit, (2.1) and Lemma 2.4, it is possible to pass to the limit in the weak formulation of (2.2), for every $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Lip}(\Omega)$,

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x) \nabla u_n \nabla \varphi}{(b(x) + |z_n|)^2} + \int_{\Omega} u_n \varphi = \int_{\Omega} f_n(x) \varphi, \\ \int_{\Omega} \frac{A(x) \nabla z_n \nabla \psi}{(B(x) + |u_n|)^2} + \int_{\Omega} z_n \psi = \int_{\Omega} F_n(x) \psi; \end{cases}$$

and we prove that u and z are solutions of our system, in the following distributional sense

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x) \nabla u \nabla \varphi}{(b(x) + |z|)^2} + \int_{\Omega} u \varphi = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \varphi, & \forall \varphi \in \text{Lip}(\Omega); \\ \int_{\Omega} \frac{A(x) \nabla z \nabla \psi}{(B(x) + |u|)^2} + \int_{\Omega} z \psi = \int_{\Omega} F(x) \psi, & \forall \psi \in \text{Lip}(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

□

Now we show that, in the above definition of solution, it is possible to use less regular test functions: it is possible to use functions only belonging to $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *The above functions u and z are solutions of our system, in the following sense*

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x) \nabla u \nabla v}{(b(x) + |z|)^2} + \int_{\Omega} u v = \int_{\Omega} f(x) v, & \forall v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega); \\ \int_{\Omega} \frac{A(x) \nabla z \nabla w}{(B(x) + |u|)^2} + \int_{\Omega} z w = \int_{\Omega} F(x) w, & \forall w \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

PROOF. In order to avoid technicalities, here we also assume that

$$(2.14) \quad a(x) \text{ and } A(x) \text{ are scalar functions.}$$

We start with the following inequalities (we use (2.6) with $k = 0$)

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{a(x) \nabla u_n}{(b(x) + |z_n|)^2} \right|^2 \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{\lambda^2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{(b(x) + |z_n|)^2} \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{\lambda^2} \int_{\Omega} |f|^2.$$

Thus, up to subsequences, we can say that, for some $\Psi \in (L^2(\Omega))^N$,

$$(2.15) \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x) \nabla u_n}{(b(x) + |z_n|)^2} \Phi \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \Psi \Phi,$$

for every $\Phi \in (L^2(\Omega))^N$. Now we compare the limit (2.10) with the limit (2.15), taking $\Phi = \nabla \varphi$, and we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{a(x) \nabla u}{(b(x) + |z|)^2} - \Psi \right] \Phi = 0.$$

Thus we proved that

$$\frac{a(x) \nabla u_n}{(b(x) + |z_n|)^2} \text{ weakly converges in } (L^2(\Omega))^N \text{ to } \frac{a(x) \nabla u}{(b(x) + |z|)^2},$$

which allows us to pass to the limit in (2.11) only assuming $\varphi, \psi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper contains the unpublished part of the results presented by the first author in a talk at the conference “Calculus of Variations and Differential Equations - Conférence en l’honneur du 60ème anniversaire de Bernard Dacorogna” (Lausanne, 10-12 juin 2013).

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Boccardo, H. Brezis: Some remarks on a class of elliptic equations. Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. 6 (2003), 521–530.
- [2] L. Boccardo, G. Croce: Elliptic partial differential equations. Existence and regularity of distributional solutions. De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 55. De Gruyter, Berlin, 2014.
- [3] L. Boccardo, G. Croce, L. Orsina: $W_0^{1,1}$ minima of non coercive functionals; Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, 22 (2011), 513–523.
- [4] L. Boccardo, G. Croce, L. Orsina: A semilinear problem with a $W_0^{1,1}$ solution; Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl. 23 (2012), no. 2, 97–103.

- [5] L. Boccardo, G. Croce, L. Orsina: Nonlinear degenerate elliptic problems with $W_0^{1,1}$ solutions; Manuscripta Math. 137 (2012), 419–439.
- [6] L. Boccardo, B. Dacorogna: A characterization of pseudo-monotone differential operators in divergence form; Comm. P.D.E. 9 (1984), 1107–1117.
- [7] L. Boccardo, B. Dacorogna: Monotonicity of certain differential operators in divergence form. Manuscripta Math. 64 (1989), 253–260.
- [8] L. Boccardo, A. Dall’Aglio, L. Orsina: Existence and regularity results for some elliptic equations with degenerate coercivity, dedicated to Prof. C. Vinti (Perugia, 1996). Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena 46 (1998), 51–81.
- [9] L. Boccardo, T. Gallouët: $W_0^{1,1}$ solutions in some borderline cases of Calderon-Zygmund theory; J. Differential Equations, 253 (2012), 2698–2714.
- [10] G. Croce: The regularizing effects of some lower order terms in an elliptic equation with degenerate coercivity. Rendiconti di Matematica 27 (2007), 299–314.
- [11] G. Croce: An elliptic problem with degenerate coercivity and a singular quadratic gradient lower order term; Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S 5 (2012), 507–530.
- [12] G. Croce: An elliptic problem with two singularities; Asymptot. Anal. 78 (2012), 1–10.
- [13] G. Croce, B. Dacorogna: On a generalized Wirtinger inequality; Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 9 (2003), 1329–1341.
- [14] B. Dacorogna: Direct methods in the calculus of variations. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 78. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [15] B. Dacorogna: Weak continuity and weak lower semicontinuity of nonlinear functionals. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 922. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1982.
- [16] B. Dacorogna, C. Tanteri: On the different convex hulls of sets involving singular values; Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 128 (1998), 1261–1280.
- [17] B. Dacorogna, C. Tanteri: Implicit partial differential equations and the constraints of nonlinear elasticity; J. Math. Pures Appl. 81 (2002), 311–341.
- [18] A. Porretta: Uniqueness and homogenization for a class of noncoercive operators in divergence form, dedicated to Prof. C. Vinti (Perugia, 1996). Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena 46 (1998), 915–936.

LA SAPIENZA UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA.

E-mail address: boccardo@mat.uniroma1.it

UNIVERSITÉ DU HAVRE

E-mail address: gisella.croce@univ-lehavre.fr

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE

E-mail address: chiara.tanteri@epfl.ch